### Investigations of Different Survey Techniques and Inversion Strategies For Detecting Water-Bearing Structures with TDEM

R. W. Groom, Petros Eikon Incorporated, Ontario, Canada Lei Yang, No 203 Research institute of CNNC, Xian Yang, P.R.C

# **TOPICS:**

- Study Area *Turpan-Hami Basin, Xinjiang*
- Fundamentals contrary to the use of in-loop TDEM
- Other strategies
- Field data examples
  - Conclusions





#### Xinjiang – Basins



1500km

### **Basins of Xinjiang**



### TURPAN-HAMI Basin Study area



500km



### **Turpan-Hami basin**



### **Partially Saturated Jurassic target sequence**









### Surveyed with TDEM since 1998





# **Physical Basics**



- Periodic Impulse Response when using induction coil frequency band limited
- "no" primary field in the off time
- Primary B field is the source of induced currents
- Currents induced at depth proportional to primary field strength







**Study Surveys Objectives** 

- Historical surveys 1998 to present
  - continuous, small loop, central loop measurements
  - approximately 100km per year
  - first 10 years with domestic Chinese equipment
  - now utilizing large voltage transmitters
  - multiple base frequencies.
  - requiring long data collections
  - recently immediately outside the loop and invert as inloop

### practical concerns

- small loops small dipole strengths without large curents
- primary fields relatively non-uniform inside the loop
- late time secondary currents migrating outside loop
- do low base frequencies offer any additional information?

### Increase loop size

- increase spatial data sampling
- how to increase resolution
- how to increase depth of penetration
- decrease the need for large currents





#### Effect of Loop Size on Primary Field Excitation

- -100m loop with 4 Amps , 200m loop with 1 Amp
- larger loop more horizontally uniform
- larger loop weighted stronger at depth
- weaker effects from horizontal components









### **Effect of Loop Size on Primary Field Excitation**

-100m loop, 4A, Z=50m



### -200m loop, 1A, Z=50m





Ηх



# **Current Migration Perspective I**



In this figure: Hz (x,t)

- early-time channel (1) (Red)
- mid-time channel (9) (blue)
- late-time channel (14) (green).



The vertical magnetic field changes sign at the crossover as the station changes from being inside the current concentration to being outside the current concentration.





# **Current Migration Perspective II**



-the figure shows <u>J</u> (total) at 2.2msec after turn-off (2.5hz basefrequency)

### Current Migration Indicated by Hz at the surface



- (a) The current waveform,
- (b) Early time Hz
- (c) Intermediate time Hz
- (d) Late time Hz





### **Current Migration Perspective III**

Current density below 2 positions, -one near the center of loop -one 200m east of the loop



**Current Density vs Depth Early Time** 





Remove "inloop station" and "station 200m ..." from this figure

Current Density vs Depth LateTime







# Non-Uniqueness at the Loop Center



PETROSEIKON Geophysical exploration



### Multiple Data – In Loop plus Out-of-Loop Joint Inversion

**Inversion Model** 



**Multi-Separation Inversion Synthetics** 







### Fixed Loop Approaches 3 station window, Hz example



- estimate initial model by forward modeling
- multi-station moving station window
- use previous inversion for start of next window



ım∙m





0N : x=-250; y=0



### 2012 Study



Fixed Loop and Moving Loop Survey

- 3 Lines on 500m loop with 50m stations 25Hz – fast survey, Hy indicates 1D apsect
- 2 ML lines
  100m loop surveys with 100m stations
  2.5Hz with in loop and out of loop measurements



### L48W Inloop Hz Smooth Inversion – 30layer model







#### L48W Inloop Hz Smooth Inversion – Are Model Parameters Necessary or Meaningful



#### L48W Inloop Hz Smooth Inversion – Other Data Parameters





### L48W Moving Loop Inloop and Out of Loop Data Inversion



### L48W Fixed Loop Inversion of Hz data



- thin conductor at approx 50m is indicated
- thick conducting Jurassic found thickening to the north
- lower resistor resolved



# 2015 - Field Data Examples 75km from Tian Shan

3 survey configurations

- 1. fixed loop, multiple lines
- 2. fixed loop, 5 stations
- 3. moving loop 3 separations





Fixed Loop Field Method – Example 1

# 3 Lines north of loop

This contour plots show a large amount of information about the subsurface particularly if the geology is approximately 1D







### Fixed Loop Field Data Example 1

#### **Multi-Component / Multi-Station Inversion :**

- Example 1: 8 time channels and 12 stations from central line -> single model result





Our Inversion Model 142 Ωm to 68m 410 Ωm to 604m basement highly resistive





# Field Data Example 2 – 200m Loop 5 locations

• 200 x 200m Loop on central line



Ch3 (Hz, Hx) through the 200m loop.

Ch12 Hz, Hx through the 200m loop.

Northern most stations strongly affected by the 3D structure to the north, station immediately south of most northern station somewhat affected.





# Field Data Example 2

- 3 Southern stations Multi-Station Inversion Model
  - Hz 19Channels and 3 stations

#### Multistation Inversion



-The data is unable to resolve any zones in resistivity in the upper 500m,

- Depth to a resistor is quite well resolved.
- Resistivity of the basement resistor must be over 2000 Ohm-m.





# Field Data Example 3

- 100m Moving Loop Centre Loop Inversion Loops 1 and 2
- the centre loop data can resolve a conductor, resistor, conductor, resistor sequence
- independent inversion of the centre data produces models which vary too much at depth joint inversion of the centre loop data produces a spatially consistent model
- model does not fit either 70m or 150m data



comparison to multiple station inversion

BOG203 CNNC

**Our Inversion Model** 93  $\Omega$ m to 38m 1550 Ωm to 213m 185 Ωm to 458m basement resistive greater than 1000  $\Omega$ m Loop 2: Separation 3 Inloop Model does not fit out of loop data



## Field Data Example 3

- 100m Moving Loop Multi-Separation Applications 25Hz
- in order to find a consistent model for all data multi-station, multi-separation inversion was performed

		1	4.00			Hz 3seper	ations 5	layer		
Resistivity	Thickness	Depth to Bottom of Layer	4.00 () 3.00						<ul> <li>0182, Y 6</li> <li>0182, Y 6</li> <li>0182, Y 6</li> </ul>	580
37	13	13	$\frac{\overline{a}}{\overline{a}}$ 2.00							
3920	22	35	u) 98 1.00					X	<u> </u>	
1300	127	162	0.00							`
195	370	532	ے 1.00							
1064			-2.00							
		1	-2	. 00	-1.00 0.00 Log (Time(mSec))					

Loop 1: Sep3. Hz multi-station/separation (0,75,150) inversion to data.



BOG203. CNNC



1.00

# CONCLUSIONS

- Inversion of in-loop data is misleading as this location senses the ground resistivity with limited extent
- If the ground is not approximately 1D, then small in-loop data often misrepresents the resistive structure.
- Measuring with a multiple separation strategy
  - May increase the resolution
  - Hx can be utilized and fewer models can fit
  - This strategy still has limitations.
- Fixed loop data gave us the most information of the entire survey area.



